

## Back to the Drawing Board for Charlotte's Web

In late September, several parties joined to challenge the rules which the Florida Department of Health had proposed to implement § 381.986, Florida Statutes (otherwise known as the “Charlotte’s Web” law). The challenge claimed that the Department’s rules demonstrated an invalid exercise of the Department’s delegated legislative authority. Administrative Law Judge W. David Watkins agreed with the challengers’ position and last Friday threw-out many of the Department’s rules. Now, the Department will have to issue a notice of rule change and hope that a new set of rules do not attract a new challenge.

In many respects, it is still unclear what exactly the new rules will look like; however, two changes in particular are expected. First, the Department will likely create a merit-based system to choose which applicants to award licenses. Originally, the Department’s rules contemplated a lottery system in which applicants that met certain threshold requirements would be chosen at random. The Department’s reasoning behind the lottery was primarily that a lottery would be fair and therefore less subject to a challenge by a losing applicant. Opponents argued, and the Judge agreed, that the lottery was counter to the spirit of § 381.986, which in so many words directs the Department to award licenses to the *best* applicants.

Second, the Department will require applicant-entities to be qualified nurseries as defined in § 381.986 - not entities that merely *include* a qualified nursery. The Department originally took a loose reading of § 381.986 to allow for a greater ownership role by outside groups with knowledge of growing and selling low-THC cannabis. Again, Judge Watkins agreed with the challengers and ruled that such a loose interpretation of the law was impermissible. Applicant-entities will have to be the actual nursery entity.

Other than the above two areas, the precise approach the Department takes on the new rules remains to be seen. However, even more unclear than the Department’s approach, is *when* new rules will actually be implemented. With the holiday season approaching and the ever-existing threat of another challenge, the Department is likely hoping to at least have the process finished by the 2015 legislative session in March. If it doesn’t, changes in the law are always a possibility. Either way, we will be sure to keep you posted.

*Judge Watkins’ final order can be found at <https://www.doah.state.fl.us/ROS/2014/14004296.pdf>. Other notable rules that the Judge found invalid included the following:*

- *rules relating to financial requirements for applicants;*
- *rules relating to certain timing constraints once an applicant is approved for a license;*
- *rules relating to the inspection of a license-holder’s grow facility; and*
- *rules relating to the background of the owners of an applicant-entity.*

*\*The above article assumes the reader has a basic understanding of the fundamental legal and regulatory landscape that currently exists related to medical marijuana in Florida. For helpful background information, please refer to our previous articles on the topic, which can be found at <http://www.ioppololawgroup.com/insider-updates/>.*

***Adrian Lukis, Esq.  
Government Affairs Manager***

*The Ioppolo Law Group, PLLC (“ILG”) has been representing both Florida-based and national groups in their pursuit of medical marijuana licenses for the past year. ILG’s representation ranges from regulatory compliance, government affairs and business consulting to creating corporate and financial structures and building teams. Please do not hesitate to contact us at 407 936 3672 or [alukis@ioppololawgroup.com](mailto:alukis@ioppololawgroup.com) for a consultation. Also, please feel free to visit our website at [www.ioppololawgroup.com](http://www.ioppololawgroup.com) to explore our medical marijuana resource page and to sign up to receive our alerts.*

For additional information, please contact:

Adrian Lukis, Esq.  
Manager, Government Affairs  
[alukis@ioppololawgroup.com](mailto:alukis@ioppololawgroup.com)  
P: 407-936-3672; F: 866-529-4717

Ioppolo Law Group, PLLC  
250 International Pkwy, Ste 250  
Lake Mary, FL 32746  
P: 407-444-1004; F: 866-529-4717  
[www.ioppololawgroup.com](http://www.ioppololawgroup.com)

This Ioppolo Law Group Insider Update is issued for informational purposes only and is not intended to be construed or used as general legal advice. Please contact the author(s) if you have questions regarding the currency of this information. The hiring of a lawyer is an important decision. Before you decide, ask for written information about the lawyer’s legal qualifications and experience. ILG is a service mark and trade name of Ioppolo Law Group, PLLC™ 2010. All rights reserved.

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:** This email may contain material that is privileged, confidential, and/or attorney-client work product for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express written permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender at the above number and delete all copies. Inadvertent waiver shall waive no privileges.

**TAX ADVICE DISCLOSURE:** To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS under Circular 230, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments), unless otherwise specifically stated, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (1) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (2) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any matters addressed herein. The foregoing paragraph has been affixed to comply with U.S. Treasury Regulations governing tax practice.